check by 17 on 8/30/16

CETIFICATION

SDG No:

FA36030

Site:

BMSMC - Building 5 Area

Humacao, PR

Laboratory:

Accutest, Florida

Matrix:

Groundwater

SUMMARY:

Samples (Table 1) were collected on the BRSMC facility – Building 5 Area. The BMSMC facility is located in Humacao, PR. Samples were taken July 22 - August 9, 2016 and were analyzed in Accutest, Florida that reported the data under SDG No.: FA36030. Results were validated using the latest validation guidelines (July, 2015) of the EPA Hazardous Waste Support Section. The analyses performed are shown in Table 1. Individual data review worksheets are enclosed for each target analyte group. The data sample organic data samples summary form shows for analytes results that were qualified.

In summary the results are valid and can be used for decision taking purposes.

Table 1. Samples analyzed and analysis performed

SAMPLE ID	SAMPLE	MATRIX	ANALYSIS PERFORMED	
	DESCRIPTION			
FA36030-1	OSMW-6S	Groundwater	VOA TCL List*	
FA36030-2	OSMW-6D	Groundwater	VOA TCL List*	
FA36030-3	OSMW-2S DUP	Groundwater	VOA TCL List*	
FA36030-4	TB080916	AQ - Trip Blank	VOA TCL List*	
		Water		

* Benzene, Methyl Tert Butyl Ether, Tert-Amyl Alcohol

Reviewer Name:

Rafael Infante

Chemist License 1888

Signature:

Date:

August 24, 2016

Rafaci Indiana Mindra LIC # 1888

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Clie	ent Sample ID:	OSMW-6S
Lab	Sample ID:	FA36030-1

Matrix: Method:

Project:

AQ - Ground Water

SW846 8260C BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Humacao, PR Date Sampled: Date Received:

08/09/16 08/11/16

Percent Solids: n/a

	File ID	DF	Analyzed	Bv	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
		LIF	Allaryzou	шу	Lich Date	Lich Daren	Analytical Datical
Run #1	J0978639.D	1	08/11/16	DP	n/a	n/a	VJ5397
Run #2							

Purge Volume Run #1 5.0 ml

Run #2

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
71-43-2 1634-04-4 75-85-4	Benzene Methyl Tert Butyl Ether Tert-Amyl Alcohol	ND ND ND	1.0 1.0 20	0.20 0.20 6.0	ug/l ug/l ug/l	
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run#1	Run# 2	Limi	ts	
1868-53-7 17060-07-0 2037-26-5	Dibromofluoromethane 1,2-Dichloroethane-D4 Toluene-D8	100% 102% 104%		83-1 79-1 85-1	25%	
460-00-4	4-Bromofluorobenzene	101%		83-1	18%	



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

	Client Sample ID:	OSMW-6D
	Lab Sample ID:	FA36030-2
	Matrix:	AQ - Ground
ĺ	Method:	SW846 8260

d Water SW846 8260C

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Humacao, PR

Date Received: 08/11/16 Percent Solids: n/a

08/09/16

Date Sampled:

						• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	File ID	DF	Analyzed	Ву	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	J0978640.D	1	08/11/16	DP	n/a	n/a	VJ5397
ID HO							

Run #2

Project:

Purge Volume Run #1 5.0 ml

Run #2

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
71-43-2 1634-04-4 75-85-4	Benzene Methyl Tert Butyl Ether Tert-Amyl Alcohol	ND ND ND	1.0 1.0 20	0.20 0.20 6.0	ug/l ug/l ug/l	
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run#1	Run# 2	Lim	its	
1868-53-7 17060-07-0 2037-26-5 460-00-4	Dibromofluoromethane 1,2-Dichloroethane-D4 Toluene-D8 4-Bromofluorobenzene	95% 98% 107% 100%		79-1 85-1	18% 25% 12% 18%	



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	OSMW-2S DUP
Lab Sample ID:	FA36030-3

Matrix: Method:

AQ - Ground Water SW846 8260C

Date Received:

Date Sampled: 08/05/16 08/11/16

Project: BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Humacao, PR Percent Solids: n/a

Q

	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	T0978641.D	1	08/11/16	DP	n/a	n/a	VI5397

Run #2

Purge Volume

Run #1 Run #2 5.0 ml

CAS No. Compound Result RL MDL Units 71-43-2 Benzene ND 1.0 0.20 ug/l 1634-04-4 Methyl Tert Butyl Ether ND 1.0 0.20ug/l

75-85-4	Tert-Amyl Alcohol	ND	20	6.0 ug/
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run#1	Run# 2	Limits
1868-53-7 17060-07-0 2037-26-5 460-00-4	Dibromofluoromethane 1,2-Dichloroethane-D4 Toluene-D8 4-Bromofluorobenzene	94% 98% 105% 98%		83-118% 79-125% 85-112% 83-118%



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

	Sample ID:	TB0809
V 1 A	4 700	T40000

Lab Sample ID: Matrix:

Method:

Project:

16 FA36030-4

AQ - Trip Blank Water

SW846 8260C BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Humacao, PR Date Sampled: 07/22/16

Date Received: 08/11/16

Percent Solids: n/a

Run #1 ^a	File ID	DF 1	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #2	J0978642.D		08/11/16	DP	n/a	n/a	VJ5397

Run #1 Run #2	Purge Volume 5.0 ml					
CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
71-43-2	Benzene	ND	1.0	0.20	110/1	

1.0

0.20

ug/l

1634-04-4 75-85-4	Methyl Tert Butyl Ether Tert-Amyl Alcohol	ND ND	1.0 20		ug/l ug/l
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits	1
1868-53-7 17060-07-0 2037-26-5 460-00-4	Dibromofluoromethane 1,2-Dichloroethane-D4 Toluene-D8 4-Bromofluorobenzene	99% 97% 103% 100%		83-118 79-125 85-112 83-118	% %

ND

(a) Sample received outside the holding time.



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

F	ACCLITEBT:			СНА	IN C)F (CUS'	ГC	DY	r				3	#ar	lma — .	1	A	3	6(PA	GE.	(V)	OF <u>/</u>
	FL			4405 Virginal TEL 40	F7-421-678	O FAX	467-425	0707	12811						ou.	1960:	3374	56			fran	NI	
tiko	Client / Reporting Information	新安村(東京)	PART THE PART OF T	Project	befores		Salar S	.000	act.		100	Gardo.		200	Rec	posted.	Maked	1 [000				1	Metric Codes
-	ly Million	Proposi Huma:															\top	T	T	T			
Indon	on Multiclium & Associates	BMSMC Phe	2A Rolenno	Accessor										Arryl Alcohol					1				GW - Dataing Wa
	Ventcheeler Avenue, State 417	Sheet			200	MASSES			and a	276	en nge		in the	ž.		1		1	1				SNo - Stythour We
4	24	CN		Burn	Compa	y Plants	-11-0		Sem II	_	=		_	刨		1 1							80 - Self 81 - Shelge
urchi		77 Humacao		PR										<u> </u>			-1	1	1				SED-Bestines
	Corence General	Protect #			Shoot A	distant								E	ĺ			1	1				LIQ - Clear Libal ARR - Air
Tip/s	y Taylor Facility	Chart Purchase	Crear #		City			_	Date	_	_	70	_	A P				1	1				SCL - Other Sell WP - Wys
	251-0400													周日									FIS-Field Blook
	961 Homorica Phomorica	Propert Manager	r		Attertion	ĸ					-			e e									CD-Conjunent (to RS- Rose Core
N. F	tivors, R. Stuert, R. C'Rolly, T. Taylor	Terry Taylor		Commission	_	_	_	_	M					1							ш		TIS-Trip Etheric
								h		ΤŢ	T	П	-	حق		1 1	1						
	Field ID / Point of Collection	MICHIGINALS	Dee	Ton-	Section 19	-	Per same	Jøl	3 8		밁		8	EMBC: ONLY					1	1			LAB USE ONL
T	08MW ~ 65	1	2-9-16	1310	Ne	GW	1	Н	+	H	-	Н	Н	X	\vdash	\vdash	+	+	+	-		-	
2	OSMW - GD	-	8-9-16	1414	177.0		-	-	+	Н	+	H	+	x	-	-	+	+-	+	-	-	+	+
3	OSMW - 2 S DUP	+			NR	GW	3	3	+	н	+	Н	+		-	-	+	+	-	-	\vdash	+	-
2	C21104 - 52 DAL		8-5-16	1111	NR	OW	1	3	+	Н	+	Н	4	X	_	-	-	+	-			-	
-		+	-	_	-	-		Н		Н	+	Н	\perp				_	+	-			_	
								Ц		Ц	\perp	Ц						1_					
			1					Ш		П		П						1_					
								П		П	T	П	П					T					T
								П		П	T	П			7			1					
	A STATE OF THE STA							П		П	T	П											
								Н	+	H	+	Ħ	+				-	1	1-			-	+
33	A THE CALL AND DESCRIPTION OF THE	Comment of the	CHIEF HOTELE	100000000	5984	10000	100	150	color	et	a es	抻	4	SP45	1000	10000	1000	e de la constante de la consta	550	03.00	District of	D.C. 200	OF THE REAL PROPERTY.
à.	TE DROP IL	294.3	100	20.00	- 24	145 A	60 G	1 19 2 73		Ы		П	0.9		殿	100 Sept 1	Sie	Telen S	15.0	100		1007	
-01	Furtherined Time (Sharness days)			SEZECT CONTRACTOR		E A SHE	Date	Out		1		1-1	198	53			PER IX	Con	1000	Economic Property of the Inches of the Inche	Patrici		The state of the s
	3tal. 15 Gustinos Days	Approved By Man	alout Pilly / Balar			Carrier	64 "A" (A	-	1)	_		_	Categ	my A	1000	- No.	2000-980			-		-	
	SNS. 10 Divisions Days (by Contract ani);					Common			21				Categ	ory B									
						PULLTY (4)				late fi				CAMPY HIS	of Her	mane. N		host the	Arms A	-	
	3 Day AMERICANCY					Commerc	ALTO			Ē	5.					-	and the same		Time			100.00	
	1 Day EMERGENCY To 1 Day PROPRIESCY				l l		Communication									-			_	_			3.01
	gency & Rush TIA data evaluate WA Laberts	-					ALI Finder	out:	Rose/Is	- 95	Same			Sales de			1.45 (1852)	12.02	20.71				
	the Part of the Part		mpin Copledy re			olow our	n time s		on pha		-	_	lacte		ouglas	delivery.			dite	a year			
-	NOWLER 8-9	16 1700	i Fe	JE	K			2	1	i Biggi		1	×				m Them		2/	CI	2	1	- 8/1/16
Belley	Date I'm	-	Seniori Sy:					no.	-	By:						-	to These	-	-	-109/			ali di di
Perfect	printed by:		Section by:			_	_	4 Com	oh be	14		-	u	-	_	Property	-	-	14	_	Clarke	-	our Three and
			6					1	23	16			а	Harland			D		. 1		B	11	4.2

FA36030: Chain of Custody Page 1 of 3

EXECUTIVE NARRATIVE

SDG No:

FA36030

Laboratory:

Accutest, Florida

Analysis:

SW846-8260C

Number of Samples:

4

Location:

BMSMC - Building 5 Area

Humacao, PR

SUMMARY:

Four (4) samples were analyzed for selected volatile organic compounds (VOCs) by method SW846-8260C. The sample results were assessed according to USEPA data validation guidance documents in the following order of precedence: USEPA Hazardous Waste Support Section SOP No. HW-33A Revision 0 SOM02.2. Low/Medium Volatile Data Validation. July, 2015. The QC criteria and data validation actions listed on the data review worksheets are from the primary guidance document, unless otherwise noted.

Critical issues:

None

Major:

None

Minor:

None

Critical findings:

None

Major findings:

None

Minor findings:

None

COMMENTS:

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Reviewers Name:

Rafael Infante

Chemist License 1888

Signature:

Date:

August 24, 2016

SAMPLE ORGANIC DATA SAMPLE SUMMARY

Sample ID: FA36030-1

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 8/9/2016 Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8260C

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Benzene	1.0	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Methyl Tert Butyl Ether	1.0	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Tert-Amyi Alcohol	20	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: FA36030-2

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 8/9/2016 Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8260C

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Benzene	1.0	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Methyl Tert Butyl Ether	1.0	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Tert-Amyl Alcohol	20	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: FA36030-3

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 8/5/2016 Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8260C

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Benzene	1.0	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Methyl Tert Butyl Ether	1.0	ug/l	1.0	-	IJ	Yes
Tert-Amyl Alcohol	20	ug/l	1.0	-	υ	Yes

Sample ID: FA36030-4

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 7/22/2016

Matrix: AQ - Trip Blank Water

METHOD: 8260C

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Benzene	1.0	ug/l	1.0	•	U	Yes
Methyl Tert Butyl Ether	1.0	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Tert-Amyl Alcohol	20	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes

	Project Number:_FA36030 Date:July_22-August_9,_2016 Shipping date:August_9,_2016
	EPA Region:2
REVIEW OF VOLATILE ORG Low/Medium Volatile Da	
will assist the reviewer in use better serving the needs of JSEPA data validation guidant ardous Waste Support Section to Validation. July, 2015.	were created to delineate required validation sing professional judgment to make more the data users. The sample results were ance documents in the following order or on SOP No. HW-33A Revision 0 SOM02.2 The QC criteria and data validation actions mary guidance document, unless otherwise
ry name)Accutest ality control and performance	data package received has data summarized. The data review for VOCs
FA36030	Sample matrix:Groundwater
4	
FA36030-4	
-	
- - - - -	
rA30030-2/rA30030-3_	
ess	X Laboratory Control Spikes
	X Field Duplicates
	X Calibrations
l Performance	X Compound Identifications
	X Compound Quantitation
eries	X Quantitation Limits
rix Spike Duplicate	
lected_VOA_from_the_TCL_li dated_July_22,_2016	st_(SW846_8260C)

Low/Medium Volatile Da

The following guidelines for evaluating volatile organics actions. This document will assist the reviewer in usinformed decision and in better serving the needs of assessed according to USEPA data validation guida precedence: USEPA Hazardous Waste Support Section Low/Medium Volatile Data Validation. July, 2015. I listed on the data review worksheets are from the princed.	sing professional judgment to make more the data users. The sample results were ince documents in the following order of on SOP No. HW-33A Revision 0 SOM02.2. The QC criteria and data validation actions
The hardcopied (laboratory name)Accutestbeen reviewed and the quality control and performance included:	data package received has data summarized. The data review for VOCs
Lab. Project/SDG No.:FA36030_ No. of Samples:4_ Trip blank No.:FA36030-4_ Field blank No.: Equipment blank No.: Field duplicate No.:FA36030-2/FA36030-3_	
X Data CompletenessX Holding TimesX GC/MS TuningX Internal Standard PerformanceX BlanksX Surrogate RecoveriesX Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	X Laboratory Control SpikesX Field DuplicatesX CalibrationsX Compound IdentificationsX Compound QuantitationX Quantitation Limits
_OverallComments:Selected_VOA_from_the_TCL_li- _FA36030-4:_Trip_Blank_dated_July_22,_2016	
Definition of Qualifiers: J- Estimated results U- Compound not detected R- Rejected data UJ- Estimated normetect Reviewer: Au Man	
Date:August_24,_2016	

DATA COMPLETENESS

MISSING INFORMATION	DATE LAB. CONTACTED	DATE RECEIVED
		inis <u>un los ester a</u>
	- Aug	
	**	
	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	
	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	
	16	
	3	
5319-3 198 P** 188-2-1-1-2-2-1		

		÷
		Α
		N
	929	
		4

All criteria were metX
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

HOLDING TIMES

The objective of this parameter is to ascertain the validity of the results based on the holding time of the sample from time of collection to the time of analysis.

Complete table for all samples and note the analysis and/or preservation not within criteria

SAMPLE ID	DATE SAMPLED	DATE ANALYZED	pН	ACTION
FA36030-4	07/22/16	08/11/16	2	No action, sample received outside holding time. The sample is a trip blank.
	ed within method re nples properly prese		me excep	t the samples described in

<u>Criteria</u>

Aqueous samples – 14 days from sample collection for preserved samples (pH \leq 2, 4 \pm 2°C), no air bubbles.

Aqueous samples – 7 days from sample collection for unpreserved samples, 4°C, no air bubbles.

Soil samples- 14 days from sample collection.

Cooler temperature (Criteria: 4 ± 2 °C): 4.2° C - OK

Actions

Aqueous samples

- a. If there is no evidence that the samples were properly preserved (pH < 2, $T = 4^{\circ}C \pm 2^{\circ}C$), but the samples were analyzed within the technical holding time [7 days from sample collection], no qualification of the data is necessary.
- b. If there is no evidence that the samples were properly preserved, and the samples were analyzed outside of the technical holding time [7 days from sample collection], qualify detects for all volatile compounds as estimated (J) and non-detects as unusable (R).
- c. If the samples were properly preserved, and the samples were analyzed within the technical holding time [14 days from sample collection], no qualification of the data is necessary.
- d. If the samples were properly preserved, but were analyzed outside of the technical holding time [14 days from sample collection], qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as unusable (R).
- e. If air bubbles were present in the sample vial used for analysis, qualify detected compounds as estimated (J-) and non-detected compounds as estimated (UJ).

Non-aqueous samples

- a. If there is no evidence that the samples were properly preserved ($T < -7^{\circ}C$ or $T = 4^{\circ}C \pm 2^{\circ}C$ and preserved with NaHSO₄), but the samples were analyzed within the technical holding time [14 days from sample collection], qualify detects for all volatile compounds as estimated (J) and non-detects as (UJ) or unusable (R) using professional judgment.
- b. If the samples were properly preserved, and the samples were analyzed within the technical holding time [14 days from sample collection], no qualification of the data is necessary.
- c. If there is no evidence that the samples were properly preserved, and the samples were analyzed outside of the technical holding time [14 days from sample collection], qualify detects for all volatile compounds as estimated (J) and non-detects as unusable (R).
- d. If the samples were properly preserved, but were analyzed outside of the technical holding time [14 days from sample collection], qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as unusable (R).

Qualify TCLP/SPLP samples

- a. If the TCLP/SPLP ZHE procedure is performed within the extraction technical holding time of 14 days, detects and non-detects should not be qualified.
- b. If the TCLP/SPLP ZHE procedure is performed outside the extraction technical holding time of 14 days, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as unusable (R).
- c. If TCLP/SPLP aqueous samples and TCLP/SPLP leachate samples are analyzed within the technical holding time of 7 days, detects and non-detects should not be qualified.
- d. If TCLP/SPLP aqueous samples and TCLP/SPLP leachate samples are analyzed outside of the technical holding time of 7 days, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as unusable (R).

Table 1. Holding Time Actions for Low/Medium Volatile Analyses - Summary

	Preserved	Criteria	Action		
Matrix			Detected Associated Compounds	Non-Detected Associated Compounds	
	No	≤ 7 days	No qualification		
Agragana	No	> 7 days	J	R	
Aqueous	Yes	≤ 14 days	No qualification		
	Yes	> 14 days	J	R	
	No	≤ 14 days	J	Professional judgment, UJ or R	
Non-Aqueous	Yes	≤ 14 days	No qualification		
	Yes/No	> 14 days	J	R	
TCLP/SPLP	Yes	≤ 14 days	No qualification		
TCLP/SPLP	No	> 14 days	J	R	

TCLP/SPLP	ZHE performed within the 14-day technical holding time	No qualification	
TCLP/SPLP	ZHE performed outside the 14-day technical holding time	J	R
TCLP/SPLP aqueous & TCLP/SPLP leachate	Analyzed within 7 days	No qualification	
TCLP/SPLP aqueous & TCLP/SPLP leachate	Analyzed outside 7 days	e 7 days J	
Sample temperature outside 4°C ± 2°C upon receipt at the laboratory		Use professional judgment	
Holding times g	rossly exceeded	J R	

All criteria were met _	Х_
Criteria were not met see below	

GC/MS TUNING

The assessment of the tuning results is to determine if the sample instrumentation is within the standard tuning QC limits

__X___The BFB performance results were reviewed and found to be within the specified criteria.

__X___BFB tuning was performed for every 12 hours of sample analysis.

NOTES: All mass spectrometer instrument conditions must be identical to those used during the sample analysis. Background subtraction actions resulting in spectral distortions for the sole purpose of meeting the method specifications are contrary to the Quality Assurance (QA) objectives, and are therefore unacceptable.

NOTES: No data should be qualified based on BFB failure. Instances of this should be noted in the narrative.

All ion abundance ratios must be normalized to m/z 95, the nominal base peak, even though the ion abundance of m/z 174 may be up to 120% that of m/z 95.

Actions:

If samples are analyzed without a preceding valid instrument performance check, qualify all data in those samples as unusable (R).

If ion abundance criteria are not met, professional judgment may be applied to determine to what extent the data may be utilized. When applying professional judgment to this topic, the most important factors to consider are the empirical results that are relatively insensitive to location on the chromatographic profile and the type of instrumentation. Therefore, the critical ion abundance criteria for BFB are the m/z 95/96, 174/175, 174/176, and 176/177 ratios. The relative abundances of m/z 50 and 75 are of lower importance. This issue is more critical for Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs) than for target analytes.

Note: State in the Data Review Narrative, decisions to use analytical data associated with BFB instrument performance checks not meeting contract requirements.

Note: Verify that that instrument instrument performance check criteria were achieved using techniques described in Low/Medium Volatiles Organic Analysis, Section II.D.5 of the SOM02.2 NFG, obtain additional information on the instrument performance checks. Make sure that background subtraction was performed from the BFB peak and not from background subtracting from the solvent front or from another region of the chromatogram.

	I judgment to determine whe mass calibration compound.	ther associated data should be o	jualified based on the
List	the	samples	affected:
If mass calibration	n is in error, all associated da	ata are rejected.	

All criteria were met _	х
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	

CALIBRATION VERIFICATION

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

Date of initial calibration:08/11/1	6
Dates of continuing (initial) calibration:	08/11/16
Dates of continuing calibration:	
Dates of ending calibration:	08/11/16
Instrument ID numbers:	GCMSJ
Matrix/Level:	Aqueous/low

DATE	LAB ID#	FILE	CRITERIA OUT RFs, %RSD, %D, r	COMPOUND	SAMPLES AFFECTED

Note: Initial calibration, initial calibration verification, and continuing calibration verification within the method and validation guidance document required performance criteria. Closing calibration check verification included in data package.

Criteria

The analyte calibration criteria in the following Table must be obtained. Analytes not meeting the criteria are qualified.

A separate worksheet should be filled for each initial curve

Initial Calibration - Table 2. RRF, %RSD, and %D Acceptance Criteria for Initial Calibration and CCV for Low/Medium Volatile Analysis

Analyte	Minimum	Maximum	Opening	Closing
	RRF	%RSD	Maximum %D¹	Maximum %D
Dichlorodifluoromethane	0.010	25.0	±40.0	±50.0
Chloromethane	0.010	20.0	±30.0	±50.0
Vinyl chloride	0.010	20.0	±25.0	±50.0
Bromomethane	010.0	40.0	±30.0	±50.0
Chloroethane	0.010	40.0	±25.0	±50.0
Trichlorofluoromethane	0.010	40.0	±30.0	±50.0
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.060	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane	0.050	25.0	±25.0	±50.0
Acetone	0.010	40.0	±40.0	±50.0
Carbon disulfide	0.100	20.0	±25.0	±25.0
Methyl acetate	0.010	40.0	±40.0	±50.0
Methylene chloride	0.010	40.0	±30.0	±50.0
trans-1.2-Dichloroethene	0.100	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Methyl tert-butyl ether	0.100	40.0	±25.0	±50.0
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.300	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
2-Butanone	0.010	40.0	±40.0	±50.0
Bromochloromethane	0.100	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Chloroform	0.300	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.050	20.0	±25.0	±25.0
Cyclohexane	0.010	40.0	±25.0	±50.0
Carbon tetrachloride	0.100	20.0	±25.0	±25.0
Benzene	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.070	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Trichloroethene	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Methylcyclohexane	0.050	40.0	±25.0	±50.0
1,2-Dichloropropane	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Bromodichloromethane	0.300	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.300	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	0.030	25.0	±30.0	±50.0
Toluene	0.300	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
1.1.2-Trichloroethane	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Tetrachloroethene	0.100	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
2-Hexanone	0.010	40.0	±40.0	±50.0
Dibromochloromethane	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
1.2-Dibromoethane	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Chlorobenzene	0.400	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Ethylbenzene	0.400	20.0	±20.0	±25.0

Analyte	Minimum RRF	Maximum %RSD	Opening Maximum %D ¹	Closing Maximum
ni.p-Xylene	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
o-Xylene	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Styrene	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Bromoform	0.100	20,0	±25.0	±50.0
Isopropylbenzene	0.400	20.0	±25.0	±25.0
1.1.2.2-Tetrachloroethane	0.200	20.0	±25.0	±25.0
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.500	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
1.4-Dichlorobenzene	0.600	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
1,2-Dichlorobeuzene	0.600	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
1.2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	0.010	25.0	±30.0	±50.0
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	0.400	20.0	±30.0	±50.0
1.2.3-Trichlorobenzene	0.400	25.0	±30.0	±50.0
Deuterated Monitoring Compound				
Vinyl chloride-d3	0.010	20.0	±30.0	±50.0
Chloroethane-ds	0.010	40.0	±30.0	±50.0
1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	0.050	20.0	±25.0	±25.0
2-Butanone-ds	0.010	40.0	±40.0	±50.0
Chloroform-d	0.300	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	0.060	20.0	±25.0	±25.0
Benzene-de	0.300	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
1,2-Dichloropropane-d₀	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Toluene-ds	0.300	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
trans-1.3-Dichloropropene-da	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
2-Hexanone-ds	0.010	40.0	±40.0	±50.0
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane-da	0.200	20.0	±25.0	±25.0
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4	0.400	20.0	±20.0	±25.0

If a closing CCV is acting as an opening CCV, all target analytes and DMCs must meet the requirements for an opening CCV.

Actions:

- 1. If any volatile target compound has an RRF value less than the minimum in the table, use professional judgment for detects, based on mass spectral identification, to qualify the data as estimated (J+ or R).
 - a. If any volatile target compound has an RRF value less than the minimum criterion, qualify non-detected compounds as unusable (R).
 - b. If any of the volatile target compounds listed in the Table has %RSD greater than the criteria, qualify detects as estimated (J), and non-detected compounds using professional judgment.
 - c. If the volatile target compounds meet the acceptance criteria for RRF and the %RSD, no qualification of the data is necessary.

- d. No qualification of the data is necessary on the DMC RRF and %RSD data alone. Use professional judgment and follow the guidelines in Action 2 to evaluate the DMC RRF and %RSD data in conjunction with the DMC recoveries to determine the need for qualification of data.
- 2. At the reviewer's discretion, and based on the project-specific Data Quality Objectives (DQOs), a more in-depth review may be considered using the following quidelines:
 - a. If any volatile target compound has a %RSD greater than the maximum criterion in the Table, and if eliminating either the high or the low-point of the curve does not restore the %RSD to less than or equal to the required maximum:
 - i. Qualify detects for that compound(s) as estimated (J).
 - ii. Qualify non-detected volatile target compounds using professional judgment.
 - b. If the high-point of the curve is outside of the linearity criteria (e.g., due to saturation):
 - i. Qualify detects outside of the linear portion of the curve as estimated (J).
 - ii. No qualifiers are required for detects in the linear portion of the curve.
 - iii. No qualifiers are required for volatile target compounds that were not detected.
 - c. If the low-point of the curve is outside of the linearity criteria:
 - i. Qualify low-level detects in the area of non-linearity as estimated (J).
 - ii. No qualifiers are required for detects in the linear portion of the curve.
 - iii. For non-detected volatile compounds, use the lowest point of the linear portion of the curve to determine the new quantitation limit.

Note: If the laboratory has failed to provide adequate calibration information, inform the Region's designated representative to contact the laboratory and request the necessary information. If the information is not available, the reviewer must use professional judgment to assess the data.

State in the Data Review Narrative, if possible, the potential effects on the data due to calibration criteria exceedance.

Note, for the Laboratory COR action, if calibration criteria are grossly exceeded.

Table. Initial Calibration Actions for Low/Medium Volatile Analysis – Summary

Criteria	Action		
Cineila	Detect	Non-detect	
Initial Calibration not performed at specified frequency and sequence	Use professional judgment R	Use professional judgment R	
Initial Calibration not performed at the specified concentrations	J	UJ	
RRF = Minimum RRF in Table for target analyte	Use professional judgment J+ or R	R	
RRF > Minimum RRF in Table for target analyte	No qualification	No qualification	
*•RSD > Maximum *•RSD in Table for target analyte	J	Use professional judament	
*«RSD : Maximum *«RSD in Table for target analyte	No qualification	No qualification	

All criteria were met	X
Criteria were not met	-
and/or see below	

Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV)

NOTE: Verify that the CCV was run at the required frequency (an opening and closing CCV must be run within 12-hour period) and the CCV was compared to the correct initial calibration. If the mid-point standard from the initial calibration is used as an opening CCV, verify that the result (RRF) of the mid-point standard was compared to the average RRF from the correct initial calibration.

The closing CCV used to bracket the end of a 12-hour analytical sequence may be used as the opening CCV for the new 12-hour analytical sequence, provided that all the technical acceptance criteria are met for an opening CCV (see criteria show before in the Table). If the closing CCV does not meet the technical acceptance criteria for an opening CCV, then a BFB tune followed by an opening CCV is required and the next 12-hour time period begins with the BFB tune.

All DMCs must meet RRF criteria. No qualification of the data is necessary on the DMCs RRF and %RSD/%D data alone. However, use professional judgment to evaluate the DMC and %RSD/%D data in conjunction with the DMC recoveries to determine the need of qualification the data.

Action:

- 1. If a CCV (opening and closing) was not run at the appropriate frequency, qualify data using professional judgment.
- 2. Qualify all volatile target compounds in Table shown before using the following criteria:
 - a. For an opening CCV, if any volatile target compound has an RRF value less than the minimum criterion, use professional judgment for detects, based on mass spectral identification, to qualify the data as estimated (J) and qualify non-detected compounds as unusable (R).
 - b. For a closing CCV, if any volatile target compound has an RRF value less than the criteria, use professional judgment for detects based on mass spectral identification to qualify the data as estimated (J), and qualify non-detected compounds as unusable (R).
 - c. For an opening CCV, if the Percent Difference value for any of the volatile target compounds is outside the limits in calibration criteria Table shown before, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detected compounds as estimated (UJ).
 - d. For a closing CCV, if the Percent Difference value for any volatile target compound is outside the limits in calibration criteria table, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detected compounds as estimated (UJ).
 - e. If the volatile target compounds meet the acceptable criteria for RRF and the Percent Difference, no qualification of the data is necessary.

f. No qualification of the data is necessary on the DMC RRF and the Percent Difference data alone. Use professional judgment to evaluate the DMC RRF and Percent Difference data in conjunction with the DMC recoveries to determine the need for qualification of data.

Notes: If the laboratory has failed to provide adequate calibration information, inform the Region's designated representative to contact the laboratory and request the necessary information. If the information is not available, the reviewer must use professional judgment to assess the data.

State in the Data Review Narrative, if possible, the potential effects on the data due to calibration criteria exceedance.

Note, for Contract Laboratory COR action, if calibration criteria are grossly exceeded.

Table. Continuing Calibration Actions for Low/Medium Volatile Analysis – Summary

Criteria for Opening	Criteria for	Action	
CCV	Closing CCV	Detect	Non-detect
CCV not performed at required frequency	CCV not performed at required frequency	Use professional judgment R	Use professional judgment R
CCV not performed at specified concentration	CCV not performed at specified concentration	Use professional judgment	Use professional jud <u>e</u> ment
RRF < Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	RRF < Minimum RRF in Table for target analyte	Use professional judgment J or R	R
RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	RRF :: Minimum RRF in Table for target analyte	No qualification	No qualification
^o D outside the Opening Maximum ^o D limits in Table 2 for target analyte	° D outside the Closing Maximum ° D limits in Table for target analyte	J	UJ
%D within the inclusive Opening Maximum %D limits in Table 2 for target analyte	%D within the inclusive Closing Maximum %D limits in Table—for target analyte	No qualification	No qualification

All criteria were metX
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Sections 1 & 2)

The assessment of the blank analysis results is to determine the existence and magnitude of contamination problems. The criteria for evaluation of blanks apply only to blanks associated with the samples, including trip, equipment, and laboratory blanks. If problems with any blanks exist, all data associated with the case must be carefully evaluated to determine whether or not there is an inherent variability in the data for the case, or if the problem is an isolated occurrence not affecting other data.

List the contamination in the blanks below. High and low levels blanks must be treated separately.

The concentration of a target analyte in any blank must not exceed its Contract Required Quantitation Limit (CRQL) (2x CRQLs for Methylene chloride, Acetone, and 2-Butanone). TIC concentration in any blanks must be $\leq 5.0 \,\mu\text{g/L}$ for water (0.0050 mg/L for TCLP leachate) and $\leq 5.0 \,\mu\text{g/kg}$ for soil matrices.

Laboratory blanks

The method blank, like any other sample in the SDG, must meet the technical acceptance criteria for sample analysis.

DATE ANALYZED	LAB ID	LEVEL/ MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
Field/Equipme	nt/ <u>Trip blank</u>			
If field or trip bl the method blar		nt, the data revi	ewer should evaluate thi	s data in a similar fashion a
DATE Analyzed	LAB ID	LEVEL/ MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
			nkNo_field/equipment_	blank_analyzed_with_this

All criteria were met	Х
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	

BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Section 3)

Blank Actions

Note:

All fields blank results associated with a particular group of samples (may exceed one per case) must be used to qualify data. Trip blanks are used to qualify only those samples with which they were shipped. Blanks may not be qualified because of contamination in another blank. Field blanks and trip blanks must be qualified for system monitoring compounds, instrument performance criteria, and spectral or calibration QC problems.

Samples taken from a drinking water tap do not have associated field blanks.

When applied as described in the Table below, the contaminant concentration in the blank is multiplied by the sample dilution factor.

Table. Blank and TCLP/SPLP LEB Actions for Low/Medium Volatile Analysis

Blank Type	Blank Result	Sample Result	Action for Samples
	Detects	Not detected	No qualification required
	< CRQL *	< CRQL*	Report CRQL value with a U
	SCKQL.	≥ CRQL*	No qualification required
Method,		< CRQL*	Report CRQL value with a U
Storage, Field,		≥ CRQL* and ≤	Report blank value for sample
Trip,	> CRQL *	blank concentration	concentration with a U
TCLP/SPLP LEB,		≥ CRQL* and > blank concentration	No qualification required
Instrument**	= CRQL*	≤CRQL*	Report CRQL value with a U
		> CRQL*	No qualification required
	Gross contamination	Detects	Report blank value for sample concentration with a U

^{* 2}x the CRQL for methylene chloride, 2-butanone and acetone.

Action Levels (ALs) should be based upon the highest concentration of contaminant determined in any blank. Do not qualify any blank with another blank. The ALs for samples which have been diluted should be corrected for the sample dilution factor and/or % moisture, where applicable. No positive sample results should be reported unless the concentration of the compound in the samples exceeds the ALs:

^{**} Qualifications based on instrument blank results affect only the sample analyzed immediately after the sample that has target compounds that exceed the calibration range or non-target compounds that exceed 100 µg/L.

Notes:

High and low level blanks must be treated separately Compounds qualified "U" for blank contamination are still considered "hits" when qualifying for calibration criteria.

CONTAMINATION SOURCE/LEVEL	COMPOUND	CONC/UNITS	AL/UNITS	SQL	AFFECTED SAMPLES
					All Marine
					-estilis
				100	100
		- Albert			
		and and			
	- 69				
- F					
A STATE OF THE STA					
520					

All criteria were met _X
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

DEUTERATED MONITORING COMPOUNDS (DMCs)

Laboratory performance of individual samples is established by evaluation of surrogate spike (DMCs) recoveries. All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample analysis. The accuracy of the analysis is measured by the surrogate percent recovery. Since the effects of the sample matrix are frequently outside the control of the laboratory and may present relatively unique problems, the validation of data is frequently subjective and demands analytical experience and professional judgment.

Table. Volatile Deuterated Monitoring Compounds (DMCs) and Recovery Limits

DMC	%R for Water Sample	%R for Soil Sample
Vinyl chloride-d3	60-135	30-150
Chloroethane-d5	70-130	30-150
1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	60-125	45-110
2-Butanone-d5	40-130	20-135
Chloroform-d	70-125	40-150
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	70-125	70-130
Benzene-d6	70-125	20-135
1,2-Dichloropropane-d6	70-120	70-120
Toluene-d8	80-120	30-130
trans-1,3-	60-125	30-135
Dichloropropene-d4		
2-Hexanone-d5	45-130	20-135
1,1,2,2-	65-120	45-120
Tetrachloroethane-d2		
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4	80-120	75-120

NOTE: The recovery limits for any of the compounds listed in the above Table may be expanded at any time during the period of performance if the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) determines that the limits are too restrictive.

Action:

Are recoveries for DMCs in volatile samples and blanks must be within the limits specified in the Table above.

Yes? or No?

NOTE: The recovery limits for any of the compounds listed in the Table above may be expanded at any time during the period of performance if USEPA determines that the limits are too restrictive.

List the DMCs that may fail to meet the recovery limits

Sample ID	Date	DMCs	% Recovery	Action
	100000			

DMCs recoveries within the required limits and within the guidance document performance criteria (80-120). Other non-deuterated surrogates added to the samples within laboratory control limits.

Note: Any sample which has more than 3 DMCs outside the limits must be reanalyzed.

Action:

- 1. For any recovery greater than the upper acceptance limit:
 - a. Qualify detected associated volatile target compounds as estimated high (J+).
 - b. Do not qualify non-detected associated volatile target compounds.
- 2. For any recovery greater than or equal to 10%, and less than the lower acceptance limit:
 - a. Qualify detected associated volatile target compounds as estimated low (J-).
 - Qualify non-detected associated volatile target compounds as estimated (UJ).
- 3. For any recovery less than 10%:
 - Qualify detected associated volatile target compounds as estimated low (J-).
 - Qualify non-detected associated volatile target compounds as unusable (R).
- 4. For any recovery within acceptance limits, no qualification of the data is necessary.
- In the special case of a blank analysis having DMCs out of specification, the reviewer must give special consideration to the validity of associated sample data. The basic concern is whether the blank problems represent an isolated problem with the blank alone, or whether there is a fundamental problem with the analytical process. For example, if one or more samples in the batch show acceptable DMC recoveries, the reviewer may choose to consider the blank problem to be an isolated occurrence. However, even if this judgment allows some use of the affected data, note analytical problems for Contract Laboratory COR action.
- 6. If more than three DMCs are outside of the recovery limits for Low/Medium volatiles analysis and the sample was not reanalyzed, note under Contract Problems/Non-Compliance.

Table. Deuterated Monitoring Compound (DMC) Recovery Actions for Low/Medium Volatiles Analyses – Summary

	Action		
Criteria	Detect Associated Compounds	Non-detected Associated Compounds	
%R < 10%	J-	R	
10% ≤ % R < Lower Acceptance Limit	J-	UJ	
Lower Acceptance Limit ≤ % R ≤ Upper Acceptance Limit	No qualification	No qualification	
%R > Upper Acceptance Limit	Ĵ+::	No qualification	

TABLE. VOLATILE DEUTERATED MONITORING COMPOUNDS (DMCs) AND THE ASSOCIATED TARGET COMPOUNDS

Vinyl chloride-ds (DMC-1)	Chloroethane-ds (DMC-2)	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2 (DMC-3)
Vinyl chloride	Dichlorodifluoromethane	trans-1.2-Dichloroethene
	Chloromethane	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene
	Bromomethane	1.1-Dichloroethene
	Chloroethane	
	Carbon disulfide	
2-Butanone-ds (DMC-4)	Chloroform-d (DMC-5)	1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (DMC-6)
Acetone	1,1-Dichloroethane	Trichlorofluoromethane
2-Butanone	Bromochloromethane	1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane
	Chloroform	Methyl acetate
	Dibromochloromethane	Methylene chloride
	Bromoform	Methyl-tert-butyl ether
		1,1,1-Trichloroethane
		Carbon tetrachloride
		1,2-Dibromoethane
	.21	1.2-Dichloroethane
Benzene-de (DMC-7)	1,2-Dichloropropane-ds (DMC-8)	Toluene-da (DMC-9)
Benzene	Cyclohexane	Trichloroethene
	Methylcyclohexane	Toluene
	1,2-Dichloropropane	Tetrachloroethene
	Bromodichloromethane	Ethylbenzene
		o-Xylene
	3	m.p-Xylene
		Styrene
		Isopropylbenzene
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene-da (DMC-10)	2-Hexanone-ds (DMC-11)	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane-dz (DMC-12)
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	1.1,2,2,-Tetrachloroethane
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	2-Hexanone	1.2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane
1,1,2-Trichloroethane		
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-da		
(DMC-13) Chlorobenzene	-	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene		
1,4-Dichlorobenzene		
1,2-Dichlorobenzene 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene		
1.2,3-Trichlorobenzene		1

All criteria were metX
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE (MS/MSD)

This data is generated to determine long term precision and accuracy in the analytical method for various matrices. This data alone cannot be used to evaluate the precision and accuracy of individual samples. If any % R in the MS or MSD falls outside the designated range, the reviewer should determine if there are matrix effects, i.e. LCS data are within the QC limits but MS/MSD data are outside QC limit.

NOTES:

Data for MS and MSDs will not be present unless requested by the Region.

Notify the Contract Laboratory COR if a field or trip blank was used for the MS and MSD.

For a Matrix Spike that does not meet criteria, apply the action to only the field sample used to prepare the Matrix Spike sample. If it is clearly stated in the data validation materials that the samples were taken through incremental sampling or some other method guaranteeing the homogeneity of the sample group, then the entire sample group may be qualified.

1. MS/MSD Recoveries and Precision Criteria

The laboratory should use one MS and a duplicate analysis of an unspiked field sample if target analytes are expected in the sample. If target analytes are not expected, MS/MSD should be analyzed.

List the %Rs, RPD of the compounds which do not meet the criteria.

Sample ID:_ FA36030-1MS/1MSD	Matrix/Level:	Aqueous
------------------------------	---------------	---------

Note: MS/MSD % recoveries and RPD within laboratory control limits.

Note:

- * QC limits are laboratory in-house performance criteria, LL = lower limit, UL = upper limit.
- * If QC limits are not available, use limits of 70 130 %.

Actions:

1. No qualification of the data is necessary on MS and MSD data alone. However, using professional judgment, the validator may use the MS and MSD results in conjunction with other QC criteria and determine the need for some qualification of the data.

QUALITY	%R < LL	%R > UL
Positive results	J	J
Nondetects results	R	Accept

MS/MSD criteria apply only to the unspiked sample, its dilutions, and the associated MS/MSD samples:

If the % R for the affected compounds were < LL (or 70 %), qualify positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

If the % R for the affected compounds were > UL (or 130 %), only qualify positive results (J).

If 25 % or more of all MS/MSD %R were < LL (or 70 %) or if two or more MS/MSD %Rs were < 10%, qualify all positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

A separate worksheet should be used for each MS/MSD pair.

All criteria were met _X
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE (LCS) ANALYSIS

This data is generated to determine accuracy of the analytical method for various matrices.

1. LCS Recoveries Criteria

Where LCS spiked with the same analyte at the same concentrations as the MS/MSD? **Yes** or No. If no make note in data review memo.

List the %R of compounds which do not meet the criteria

	LCS ID	COMPOUND	% R	QC LIMIT
_Recoveries	_(blank_spike)_	within_laboratory_cont	rol_limits	

Note:

- * QC limits are laboratory in-house performance criteria, LL = lower limit, UL = upper limit.
- If QC limits are not available, use limits of 70 130 %.

Actions:

QUALITY	%R < LL	%R > UL
Positive results	J	J
Nondetects results	R	Accept

All analytes in the associated sample results are qualified for the following criteria.

If 25 % of the LCS recoveries were < LL (or 70 %), qualify all positive results (j) and reject nondetects (R).

If two or more LCS were below 10 %, qualify all positive results as (J) and reject nondetects (R).

2. Frequency Criteria:

Where LCS analyzed at the required frequency and for each matrix? <u>Yes</u> or No. If no, the data may be affected. Use professional judgment to determine the severity of the effect and qualify data accordingly. Discuss any actions below and list the samples affected.

were metX re not met below
Groundwater

Field/laboratory duplicates samples may be taken and analyzed as an indication of overall precision. These analyses measure both field and lab precision; therefore, the results may have more variability than laboratory duplicates which only laboratory performance. It is also expected that soil duplicate results will have a greater variance than water matrices due to difficulties associated with collecting identical field duplicate samples.

The project QAPP should be reviewed for project-specific information.

NOTE: In the absence of QAPP guidance for validating data from field duplicates, the following action will be taken.

Identify which samples within the data package are field duplicates. Estimate the relative percent difference (RPD) between the values for each compound. Use professional judgment to note large RPDs (> 50%) in the narrative.

COMPOUND	SQL	SAMPLE CONC.	DUPLICATE CONC.	RPD	ACTION
Field duplicate analytes detect				vithin require	ed criteria, ≤ 50 % for target

Actions:

Qualify as estimated positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ) for the compound that exceeded the above criteria. For organics, only the sample and duplicate will be qualified.

If an RPD cannot be calculated because one or both of the sample results is not detected, the following actions are suggested based on professional judgment:

If one sample result is not detected and the other is greater than 5x the SQL qualify (J/UJ).

If one sample value is not detected and the other is greater than 5x the SQL and the SQLs for the sample and duplicate are significantly different, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.

If one sample value is not detected and the other is less than 5x, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.

If both sample and duplicate results are not detected, no action is needed.

All criteria were metX
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

X. INTERNAL STANDARD PERFORMANCE

The assessment of the internal standard (IS) parameter is used to assist the data reviewer in determining the condition of the analytical instrumentation.

DATE SAMPLE ID IS OUT IS AREA ACCEPTABLE ACTION RANGE

Internal standard area counts within the required criteria for all samples.

Action:

- If an internal standard area count for a sample or blank is greater than 200.0% of the area for the associated standard (opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration) (see Table below):
 - a. Qualify detects for compounds quantitated using that internal standard as estimated low (J-).
 - Do not qualify non-detected associated compounds.
- 2. If an internal standard area count for a sample or blank is less than 20.0% of the area for the associated standard (opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration):
 - a. Qualify detects for compounds quantitated using that internal standard as estimated high (J+).
 - b. Qualify non-detected associated compounds as unusable (R).
- If an internal standard area count for a sample or blank is greater than or equal to 20.0%, and less than or equal to 200% of the area for the associated standard opening CCV or midpoint standard from initial calibration, no qualification of the data is necessary.
- 4. If an internal standard RT varies by more than 30.0 seconds: Examine the chromatographic profile for that sample to determine if any false positives or negatives exist. For shifts of a large magnitude, the reviewer may consider partial or total rejection of the data for that sample fraction. Detects should not need to be qualified as unusable (R) if the mass spectral criteria are met.
- 5. If an internal standard RT varies by less than or equal to 30.0 seconds, no qualification of the data is necessary.

Note: Inform the Contract Laboratory Program Project Officer (CLP PO) if the internal standard performance criteria are grossly exceeded. Note in the Data Review Narrative potential effects on the data resulting from unacceptable internal standard performance.

- 6. If required internal standard compounds are not added to a sample or blank, qualify detects and non-detects as unusable (R).
- 7. If the required internal standard compound is not analyzed at the specified concentration in a sample or blank, use professional judgment to qualify detects and non-detects.

Table. Internal Standard Actions for Low/Medium Volatiles Analyses - Summary

	Act	Action		
Criteria	Detected Associated Compounds*	Non-detected Associated Compounds*		
Area counts > 200% of 12-hour standard (opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration)	J-	No qualification		
Area counts < 20% of 12-hour standard (opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration)	J+	R		
Area counts $\geq 50\%$ but $\leq 200\%$ of 12-hour standard (opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration)	No qualification			
RT difference > 30.0 seconds between samples and 12-hour standard (opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration)	R ** R			
RT difference ≤ 30.0 seconds between samples and 12-hour standard (opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration)	No qualification			

^{*} For volatile compounds associated to each internal standard, see TABLE - VOLATILE TARGET ANALYTES, DEUTERATED MONITORING COMPOUNDS WITH ASSOCIATED INTERNAL STANDARDS FOR QUANTITATION in SOM02.2, Exhibit D, available at: http://www.epa.gov/superfund/programs/cip/download/som/som22d.pdf ** Detects should not need to be qualified as unusable (R) if the mass spectral criteria are met.

		Criteria were not mel and/or see below
TARGET CO	MPOUND IDENTIFICATION	
Criteria:		
	ive Retention Times (RRTs) of reported co RT [opening Continuing Calibration Verification].	
List compour	nds not meeting the criteria described above:	
Sample ID	Compounds	Actions
spectrum fro	a of the sample compound and a current labor method associated calibration standard (openimust match according to the following criteria: All ions present in the standard mass spansor must be present in the sample spectron.	ng CCV or mid-point standard from initia ectrum at a relative intensity greater than
b.	The relative intensities of these ions must and sample spectra (e.g., for an ion wit spectrum, the corresponding sample ion a	agree within ±20% between the standard han abundance of 50% in the standard
C.	lons present at greater than 10% in the standard spectrum, must be evaluate spectral interpretation.	sample mass spectrum, but not present in
List compour	nds not meeting the criteria described above:	
Sample ID	Compounds	Actions

Action:

- 1. The application of qualitative criteria for GC/MS analysis of target compounds requires professional judgment. It is up to the reviewer's discretion to obtain additional information from the laboratory. If it is determined that incorrect identifications were made, qualify all such data as unusable (R).
- 2. Use professional judgment to qualify the data if it is determined that cross-contamination has occurred.
- 3. Note in the Data Review Narrative any changes made to the reported compounds or concerns regarding target compound identifications. Note, for Contract Laboratory COR action, the necessity for numerous or significant changes.

TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS (TICS)

NOTE: Tentatively identified compounds should only be evaluated when requested by a party from outside of the Hazardous Waste Support Section (HWSS).

ı.	1-4	TI	A-
	ICT	- 11	100

Sample ID	Compound	Sample ID	Compound
		700000000000000000000000000000000000000	

Action:

- 1. Qualify all TiC results for which there is presumptive evidence of a match (e.g. greater than or equal to 85% match) as tentatively identified (NJ), with approximated concentrations. TICs labeled "unknown" are qualified as estimated (J).
- 2. General actions related to the review of TIC results are as follows:
 - a. If it is determined that a tentative identification of a non-target compound is unacceptable, change the tentative identification to "unknown" or another appropriate identification, and qualify the result as estimated (J).
 - b. If all contractually-required peaks were not library searched and quantitated, the Region's designated representative may request these data from the laboratory.
- In deciding whether a library search result for a TIC represents a reasonable identification, use professional judgment. If there is more than one possible match, report the result as "either compound X or compound Y". If there is a lack of isomer specificity, change the TIC result to a nonspecific isomer result (e.g., 1,3,5-trimethyl benzene to trimethyl benzene

- isomer) or to a compound class (e.g., 2-methyl, 3-ethyl benzene to a substituted aromatic compound).
- 4. The reviewer may elect to report all similar compounds as a total (e.g., all alkanes may be summarized and reported as total hydrocarbons).
- 5. Target compounds from other fractions and suspected laboratory contaminants should be marked as "non-reportable".
- 6. Other Case factors may influence TIC judgments. If a sample TIC match is poor, but other samples have a TIC with a valid library match, similar RRT, and the same ions, infer identification information from the other sample TIC results.
- 7. Note in the Data Review Narrative any changes made to the reported data or any concerns regarding TIC identifications.
- 8. Note, for Contract Laboratory COR action, failure to properly evaluate and report TICs

All criteria were metX
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

SAMPLE QUANTITATION AND REPORTED CONTRACT REQUIRED QUANTITATION LIMITS (CRQLS)

Action:

- 1. If any discrepancies are found, the Region's designated representative may contact the laboratory to obtain additional information that could resolve any differences. If a discrepancy remains unresolved, the reviewer must use professional judgment to decide which value is the most accurate. Under these circumstances, the reviewer may determine that qualification of data is warranted. Note in the Data Review Narrative a description of the reasons for data qualification and the qualification that is applied to the data.
- 2. For non-aqueous samples, in the percent moisture is less than 70.0%, no qualification of the data is necessary. If the percent moisture is greater than or equal to 70.0% and less than 90.0%, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as approximated (UJ). If the percent moisture is greater than or equal to 90.0%, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as unusable (R) (see Table below).
- 3. Note, for Contract Laboratory COR action, numerous or significant failures to accurately quantify the target compounds or to properly evaluate and adjust CRQLs.
- 4. Results between MDL and CRQL should be qualified as estimated "J".
- 5. Results < MDL should be reported at the CRQL and qualified "U". MDLs themselves are not reported.

Table. Percent Moisture Actions for Low/Medium Volatiles Analysis for Non-Aqueous Samples

Criteria		Action
	Detected Associated	Non-detected Associated
	Compounds	Compounds
% Moisture < 70.0	No o	ualification
70.0 < % Moisture < 90.0	J	UJ
% Moisture > 90.0	j	R

The sample quantitation evaluation is to verify laboratory quantitation results. In the space below, please show a minimum of one sample calculation:

Sample ID

FA36030-1

Dibromofluoromethane (surrogate)

RF = 0.240

[] = (327955)(50)/(0.240)(1371607) = 49.8 ppb Ok

	All criteria were metX Criteria were not met and/or see below
Percent Solids	
List samples which have ≥ 70 % solids	

QUANTITATION LIMITS

A. Dilution performed

SAMPLE ID	DILUTION FACTOR	REASON FOR DILUTION
		- Y
		450
		A STATE OF THE STA
		Control Control
		and the same of th

	1000000	
N.C. State		
1000		
-4		
Taranta -		

All criteria were met _X
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

OTHER ISSUES

Sample ID	Comments	Actions
_No_degradation_	of_system_performance_observed.	
Action:		
AGUOII.		
Use professional degraded during s	judgment to qualify the data if it is dete ample analyses. Inform the Contract Labo on of system performance which significantly	ratory Program COR any action as a
Use professional degraded during s	ample analyses. Inform the Contract Labor on of system performance which significantly	ratory Program COR any action as a
Use professional degraded during stresult of degradations. B. Overall Assets	ample analyses. Inform the Contract Labor on of system performance which significantly	ratory Program COR any action as a

Action:

- 1. Use professional judgment to determine if there is any need to qualify data which were not qualified based on the Quality Control (QC) criteria previously discussed.
- 2. Write a brief narrative to give the user an indication of the analytical limitations of the data. Inform the Contract Laboratory COR the action, any inconsistency of the data with the Sample Delivery Group (SDG) Narrative. If sufficient information on the intended use and required quality of the data is available, the reviewer should include their assessment of the usability of the data within the given context. This may be used as part of a formal Data Quality Assessment (DQA).